

Hotel Rytířsko, Jamné, Czech Republic

October 20-22, 2014

Feedback from the participants

In order to get a better insight in the meaning of participants about the meeting and the lectures, they were asked for filling in the next questionnaire anonymously. They selected values in between the **1 (awful) to 10 (magnificent)**. The total results are handed over to lecturers and to the hotel management. I evaluated any suggestions related to organizing the meeting in order to improve the events next time.

Thanks for filling it in.

Jan Papuga

Organization			
Category	Average / Votes	Notes of participants	Response of the organiser
Information before the meeting	8.7 / 27	1) Company internal issue (voted 2) 2) Small mistake in the seminary date (at last solved) – 2x 3) The Internet website could be better arranged 4) Everything clear! 5) (+) Accommodation immediately after arrival at 8:30	1) I do not understand if I should be blamed or the company... 2) Wholly true, my apologies, I sent later a correction 3) I do my best – but true, the application dialogue does not inform you that you succeeded; will be changed next time 4) Thanks!
Realization on the place	9.3 / 27	1) Good organization 2) Interesting location in the middle of forests, in the back of beyond, poor wifi signal, no mobile signal 3) Papers with lunch and dinner options should be dispatched together to avoid having e.g. dumplings twice a day 4) (+) Enjoyment for free; pauses sufficiently often	1) Thanks 2) Indeed, this is why I rate it high, otherwise a half of you could flee already after 4 hours of lectures; wifi was not that bad, mobile signal was everywhere outside of the building, i.e. nobody interrupted the lectures by ringing 3) Nice idea, what an invention – I'll propose that to the hotel 4) What a pity we couldn't play the bowling longer...

Hall, equipment	8.2 / 27	<p>1) Dimmer lights / not enough light (4x)</p> <p>2) A bit cold on the first day morning</p> <p>3) Chairs could be more comfortable / chair as a punishment (2x)</p> <p>4) It would be good to reduce echo</p> <p>5) Satisfaction</p> <p>6) It is hard to see the lower part of the flipchart from the rear of the hall. Small and broken flipchart only, better to move it higher and more to the center (3x)</p> <p>7) Excellent</p> <p>8) (+) Enjoyments (bowling, billiard)</p>	<p>1) Right, but that's not bad for projector, we would otherwise be forced to resort to curtains...</p> <p>2) Well, Monday morning is colder on many other workplaces, I'll let to know at the hotel</p> <p>3) They will hardly change them, take a small pillow with you</p> <p>4) Well, I have not noted the problem, when staying outside the niche. Last year we tried a mike, but it was worse. Move to front next time.</p> <p>5) Great you can get it</p> <p>6) Yeah, I was there too. We'll try to invent something, but note that Mrs Schmidt-Brandecker is not that tall.</p> <p>7) Perfect</p> <p>8) You should test the pool and sauna as well</p>
Meals	7.3 / 27	<p>1) Small portions / snacks were nearly immediately consumed (6x)</p> <p>2) I guess I put on weight</p> <p>3) Missing some foods after 7:30 (breakfast), but dinner, lunch breaks -> 10 points</p> <p>4) The second 2nd dinner was excellent</p> <p>5) Pancake with spinach was tasty, but I had to stay close to toilet whole Tuesday.</p> <p>6) (+) Meal at each pause, coffee & tea; (-) slow waitress, small portions, insufficient breakfast menu</p>	<p>1) The hotel personnel would appreciate if the women engineers take part in bigger number in a mere hope that the total consumption would get lower. Note that you're all men. But we'll try to increase the amount next time.</p> <p>2) Are we the only two exceptions?</p> <p>3) After the first breakfast we had some discussion with the management, it should not happen again</p> <p>4) Yeah, I rated the 1st 2nd dinner as insufficient, so we shifted to higher gear</p> <p>5) I had the same without any problem. Maybe I didn't drink that much beer and coffee...</p> <p>6) (+&=0) That's not that bad.</p>
Accommodation	7.8 / 27	<p>1) Too far from Prague :-(</p> <p>2) The building does not suppress the noise / Cosy rooms, but from a paper...</p> <p>3) Good</p> <p>4) Poor mobile signal</p> <p>5) (+) Very pleasant environment; (-) small room, chaotically located furniture (unable to open doors, etc.)</p>	<p>1) People from Brno and Kunovice would rate Prague to be too far from their hometown. I live 20 km away, so I decided to help you all by selecting this locality fair to all of you.</p> <p>2) I guess this is something I can solve by another hotel only. The realistic solution is left to you - take the earplug for the 2nd part.</p> <p>3) Good</p> <p>4) Outside of the building sufficient, no interruptions during the lectures</p> <p>5) (-) Well, the rooms are small but I could get in without a problem. Come sooner to select the right room next time.</p>

Value for the money	7.9 / 24	<p>1) Price is not known to me</p> <p>2) I expected more practical examples including explanation of the selected approach. Otherwise OK.</p> <p>3) (Voted 5) But I don't mind, the company pays</p> <p>4) (Voted 9) I didn't pay for this / (Didn't voted) Paid by employer</p>	<p>1) You're the lucky one, I know it.</p> <p>2) Will be handed over to lecturers.</p> <p>3) You wrote the lowest ranking from all (being the average one in 1-10 scale), so if the company really pays, I shouldn't mind as well. No, I mind, and all the comments to lectures are handed over to them.</p> <p>4) OK</p>
---------------------	----------	--	---

Lectures			
Category	Average / Votes	Notes of participants	Response of the organiser
Lectures – materials, presentation, show	8.8 / 27	<p>1) Fuselage only (2)</p> <p>2) Some slides unclear due to black&white printing (3x)</p> <p>3) Well prepared. It was realized as an overview of approaches used in Airbus</p> <p>4) A bit desoriented in German accent</p> <p>5) Sometimes too general</p> <p>6) Materials - OK; Presentation - information is uselessly repeated, a lot of information need not be just read from the slides; only to highlight the important or unusual facts (more practical examples - an illustration or a sketch can help to understand the problem quicker) => pitch of the lecture could be better</p>	<p>1) See the last row of the evaluation</p> <p>2) You'd be surprised what is the price of one book. I'd be forced to increase the price otherwise. Whenever I saw something doubtful because of the grayscale tones, I added arrows, and it worked well. Take with color pencils for Part II.</p> <p>3) Right, if I would try to import people from Boeing, it would get too expensive.</p> <p>4) During DTMA course in 2011, some lectures were provided by an Englishman. I had much bigger problems to cope with his accent.</p> <p>5) Well, what you'd like to get in 3 days? The programme was quite full...</p> <p>6) Yeah, sometimes this was just a reading. This objection will be handed to reviewers.</p>
Gained knowledge	8.4 / 27	<p>1) I have never been educated in F&DT before</p> <p>2) Very theoretical, I'd appreciate practical examples, hopefully some will be in the next part</p> <p>3) Too much information for 3 days, but perfect for next studying</p>	<p>1) Well, if you would like to be educated in F&DT, you should come for Part II</p> <p>2) This is a serious objection, and will be handed over to lecturers</p> <p>3) I myself agree</p>

<p>Important topics within this category, but not covered here</p>	<p>7.6 / 9 (my mistake – this item shouldn't be ranked)</p>	<p>1) Design of wings, slaps, slots / empennage / landing gears / engines – design aspects, materials being used (8x)</p> <p>2) „Share“ experience</p> <p>3) Specialists for CS-23 aircraft</p> <p>4) Repairs of smaller skin damages, blinding the grommets across the pressurization</p> <p>5) There could be more on joints, less on materials</p> <p>6) Design of the primary parts as regards the lifetime issue (milled parts, wrought parts,...) , the impact of assembly technology on the lifetime (solid / liquid shims, tolerances and what happens when not kept)</p> <p>7) There was no initial explanation, what is stress intensity factor and what fracture toughness, the parameters were immediately used</p>	<p>1) Yeah, that issue was often commented – wings and most of these parts are prepared outside of Germany, so I should try to contact somebody from there. Mr and Mrs Schmidt say, that the design rules should be valid also e.g. for the wing, which is mostly uniaxially loaded,...</p> <p>2) Yes, you heard about vast experience, nothing else could be handed over.</p> <p>3) Hard to find a specialist who is allowed to talk and can do that well enough. I have one tip, but I need some encouraging – I was quite in a distress that the event will end with negative financial balance. Unless I hear at least from 15-20 people that their companies would pay for that, I'll not start the process...</p> <p>4) You had to go through the book and ask the lecturers in the first day, if it could not be covered partly. Now it's hard to respond.</p> <p>5) I was glad to see the material part. Apparently, everybody is looking for his personal domain.</p> <p>6) I guess it will be partly covered in Part II – I'll hand it over to lecturers</p> <p>7) This will be handed over to lecturers.</p>
--	---	---	---

Any further notes (what you missed, what can be done next, who would be the optimum attendant...):

Query: Will we get the presentations?

Answer: More of you asked, the response is NO. If you write to Schmidt's and ask for a particular slide, you'll get this in colour. You have to understand that the pdf/ppt versions of the slides would be soon available everywhere. There's a lot of experience involved, so the authors are not prone to make it completely free.